

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/493,432	01/29/2000	Kevin L. Miller	10991553-1	2230
22879	7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPAN	RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO		
	2400, 3404 E. HARMONY UAL PROPERTY ADMI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400	1110114111011	2828	

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
		09/493,432	MILLER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Armando Rodriguez	2828					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	July 2003 .						
2a)□	·	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul D					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		and It					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application Papers TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800								
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)[_] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	•		-					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .				

Application/Control Number: 09/493,432

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaquette et al. (PN 5,790,491).

Regarding claims 1,2,4,12,13,15,

Figure 1 illustrates an optical disk recorder that automatically calibrates the laser pulse having a microprocessor (20) for the controlling the laser based on feedback provided by detector (50), a laser control circuit (15) for controlling the laser (14) in accordance with the signals received by the microprocessor and the analog signal provided by the digital-to-analog converter DAC (23) and an optics system (11) for focusing the laser pulse on the recording disk (10). Column 6 lines 1-16, discloses the DAC providing an analog signal to the laser control circuit for establishing the pulse intensity and modulation. Column 12 lines 1-67, discloses the analog signal provided by the DAC is determined in accordance to the duration of the pulse. Thereby, the generation of a modulated laser pulse implies a pulse generator and a modulator, which will provide temporal placement of the generated pulses.

Regarding claim 3,14,

Art Unit: 2828

As described in column 6 lines 1-16 the DAC providing an analog signal to the laser control circuit for establishing the pulse intensity and modulation, where the modulation will provide temporal placement of the generated pulses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7,8-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaquette et al. (PN 5,790,491) in view of Sasaki et al. (PN 4,831,611).

Jaquette et al is silent as to the circuit elements within the laser control circuit (15).

However, the use of comparators, capacitors and logic components to compare signals to a desired or predetermined signal is well known in the art, as illustrated in figures 3,5,8 and 9 of Sasaki et al filed in 1986, where comparators and logic elements are provided within the circuit controlling the laser pulses.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Armando Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-6218. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-hour day / M-F.

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4881.

Armando Rodriguez

Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR/PI

Paul Ip Supervisor Art Unit 2828